ANOH THOUS Smy file

Marketing

# FRESH APRICOTS

From California

1965

With

A Review Of Auction Trading

FEDERAL-STATE MARKET NEWS SERVICE

United States

Department of Agriculture

Fruit and Vegetable Division

Market News Branch

California
Department of Agriculture
Agricultural Economics Division
Bureau of Market News

#### PREFACE

Information pertinent to the marketing of the 1965 California crop of apricots are summarized in this publication. New features of this report include: (1) Presentation of fresh market California apricot movement and distribution expressed in %; (2) Unloads of apricots in 5 Canadian Cities, by month and year; (3) Wholesale market prices for California apricots at Los Angeles and San Francisco, showing weekly range and simple weekly average, 1965.

All auction price data in this summary are compiled and tabulated from daily reports received from Eastern auctions and appear in published form during the season in the "Daily Deciduous Fruit Reports" issued by the Sacramento office of the Federal-State Market News Service. This office is operated by the California Department of Agriculture, Bureau of Market News, in cooperation with the U.S. Department of Agriculture, Consumer and Marketing Service.

We wish to acknowledge and thank the following agencies outside the Market News Service which contributed information toward this publication:

Crop Reporting Board, Statistical Reporting Service, U.S. Department of Agriculture, Washington, D.C. and the California Crop and Livestock Reporting Service, Bureau of Agricultural Statistics, California Department of Agriculture, Sacramento, California.

Bureau of Plant Quarantine, California Department of Agriculture, Sacramento, California.

Additional copies of this summary may be obtained from:

FEDERAL-STATE MARKET NEWS SERVICE 1220 N Street Sacramento, California 95814

	TABLE OF CONTENTS	
MARK	CETING CALIFORNIA APRICOTS - 1965 Season	
In Mo Ma Pr	Aghlights of Marketing California Apricots During 1965	7 7 7 8 9
1.	Total U.S. Rail and Reported Truck and Air Movement of Apricots, by States and Canada, May through September, 1962-65	11
2.	Total United States Rail and Reported Truck and Air Movement of Apricots, by States and Canada, by Months, 1965 with Total 1964 Comparisons	12
3•	Rail and Reported Truck Movement of Apricots from California and Competing States, by Weeks, 1965	13
4.	Rail Shipments of California Apricots, by County and Billing Station, by Month - 1965, and Total 1964	14
5•	Interstate Rail Passings of California Apricots for Fresh Market, by County and Loading Station, by Weeks - 1965	14
6.	Outbound Truck Passings of Apricots through California Border Stations by State of Destination, by Month - 1965, with Monthly Comparisons - 1961 to 1964	15
7•	Total Rail and Truck Unloads of Apricots at Los Angeles and San Francisco-Oakland, by Origin, by Months - 1965, with Comparative Total Unloads 1964 and Recap 3 Cities 1961-65	16
8.	Rail and Truck Unloads of Apricots in 41 U.S. and 5 Canadian Cities, by Unload Areas, by Selected States of Origin, by Months - 1965	17-18
9.	Total Unloads of Apricots Recorded by Rail and Truck in 41 U.S. and 5 Canadian Cities, by Unload Areas, by Selected States of Origin, 1962-1965	19
	II. AUCTION PRICES	
10.	Weighted Average Price and Volume of California Apricots Sold at Eastern Auctions by Variety, by Container, 1961-1965	20
11.	Weighted Average Price and Volume of California Apricots Sold at Eastern Auctions by Market, by Variety, by Container - 1965	20-21
12.		21-22
13.	Weighted Average Price and Volume of California Apricots Sold at Eastern Auctions by Market, by Week, by Principal Container - 1965	22
14.	Weighted Average Price and Volume of California Apricots Sold at Eastern Auctions by Variety, by Market, by Week, by Principal Container - 1965	23

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

III.	WHOLESALE	MARKET	PRICES

14.	Wholesale Market Prices of California Apricots at Los Angeles, by Selected	
	Districts and Varieties, by Weeks 1965	24
15.	Wholesale Market Prices of California Apricots at San Francisco, by	
	Selected Districts and Varieties, by Weeks 1965	25

#### MARKETING CALIFORNIA APRICOTS

# Summary of 1965 Season

Highlights of marketing California apricots during 1965:

- (1) Returns to California apricot growers for all uses averaged the lowest since 1949.
- (2) California apricot production was the largest in the last 5 years, estimated at 220,000 tons.
- (3) Fresh movement of California apricots was the heaviest in the last 10 years.
- (4) Fresh interstate movement was 30% above 1964.
- (5) Intrastate movement of fresh apricots dropped 8% below 1964.
- (6) Fresh interstate truck shipments in 1965 were heaviest on record.
- (7) Eastern auction sales of California apricots increased 16% above 1964.
- (8) Sales at Eastern auctions averaged \$4.06 over-all, \$1.24 below the record high of 1964.
- (9) Auction sales at New York accounted for 96% of the total metropolitan unloads at New York.
- (10) Pittsburgh recorded the highest auction average of the season, \$4.39 per lug.

# INTRODUCTION

California growers of apricots in 1965 produced a commercial crop of 220,000 tons, 12,000 tons larger than in 1964, and the largest crop since 1960 when total production was estimated at 230,000 tons. It should be noted that from the total estimated 1965 production, 15,000 tons were classified as being "wasted" making utilized production actually 205,000 tons, or 3,000 tons below the utilization of 1964. Based on a 1965 bearing acreage of 37,800 acres, yield per acre averaged 5.82 tons, slightly above the yield of 5.55 tons set in 1964. Average grower return for all uses including fresh market, canned, frozen, and dried was equivalent to \$83.30 per ton at first delivery point, \$31.70 per ton below 1964, and the lowest average recorded since 1949. Growers' returns in that year were \$72.70 per ton based on a total production of 165,000 tons from 49,843 bearing acres.

# MOVEMENT AND DISTRIBUTION

Fresh market movement of California apricots in 1965 was the heaviest in the last ten years. Total reported shipments by rail and truck amounted to 826 cars and carlot equivalents, 7% above 1964, and the heaviest movement recorded since 1955 when 1,042 carlots were reported. Interstate distribution totaled 464 carlots, 30% above 1964, and the larges quantity reported since 1955. Total intrastate unload distribution at Los Angeles and San Francisco-Oakland was below the high of 414 carlots set in 1964, but generally above the average unload volume recorded during the last ten years. Rail shipments accounted for 60% of the total interstate movement. Shipments of apricots by truck destined for out of state points totaled 185 carlot equivalents, one-third greater than in 1964, and the heaviest movement on record. Trucks destined for Canada and the states of Washington and Oregon showed sizeable increases over a year ago, reflecting the sharp drop in production due to winter freezes and spring frosts in these areas. The 1965 apricot crop in the State of Washington was cut to a mere 800 tons by freeze damage, whereas in 1964 production totaled 9,200 tons. Los Angeles continued to be the principal fresh market outlet for California apricots as unloads totaled 235 carlots in 1965, followed by San Francisco-Oakland and New York, respectively.

California Apricot Movement and Distribution in %:

TOTAL INTERSTATE FRESH MOVEMENT OF CALIFORNIA APRICOTS, BY DISTRIBUTION CHANNEL (Expressed as percentage of total fresh interstate movement)

	Sales at Eastern	Other Distribution at	Other Interstate	Total
	Auctions	Eastern Auction Cities	Distribution	Movement
1965	42%	2%	56%	100%
1964	47%	11%	42%	100%

TOTAL INTER & INTRA STATE MOVEMENT OF CALIFORNIA APRICOTS, BY DISTRIBUTION CHANNEL (Expressed as percentage of total fresh movement)

	tropolitan Unloads ribution at Eastern		Metropolitan Unloads at Los Angeles-San	Estimated Other Intrastate	Total
	Auction Cities	Distribution	Francisco-Oakland	Distribution	Movement
1965 1964	22% 24%	27% 17%	39% 47%	12% 12%	100% 100%

#### MARKETING OF CALIFORNIA FRESH APRICOTS AT EASTERN AUCTIONS

### Summary of 1965 Season

Volume of apricots sold at Eastern Auctions increased by 16% above 1964, reflecting the larger California crop of 1965. Sales expressed in tonnage totaled 2,471.9 tons, 344.4 tons above 1964, or an increase in volume of 25 carlots. Volume of auction trading, when compared to total 1965 interstate movement, was less significant than in 1964 when lack of competition from Southeastern peaches provided strong Eastern and Midwest demand for apricots. Despite the fact that volume traded at auction increased by 16% over 1964, total metropolitan unloads of apricots at the six auction cities declined slightly from 207 to 205 carlots. In 1965 Boston reported auction sales for the first time in the last two years. New York again ranked first in total auction sales with 107 carlot equivalents, followed by Philadelphia with 31, and Chicago with 27.

Prices received at all auctions were well below the record high of 1964 and averaged \$4.06 for all varieties and containers, or \$1.24 below the 1964 average. Season average sales were the lowest since 1961 when the all variety and package average was \$3.68. Seasonal average prices received at auction were the highest at Pittsburgh, \$4.39 per Brentwood lug, followed by New York with a season average of \$4.33.

# ALL AUCTION APRICOT SAIES AND AVERAGES BY CONTAINERS, WITH TOTAL TONNAGE AND ALL PACKAGE AVERAGE, 1960-65

Year	Brentwood  Ings (Approx. 252# Net.)	Avg.	Lugs, Cup Pack (Approx. 20# Net.)	Avg.	Iugs, <u>Loose</u> (Approx. 24# Net)	Avg.	Flats (Approx. 9# Net)	Avg.	Total <u>Volume</u> (In Tons)	Avg. (All Packages)
1960	267,629	\$3.37	-	_	_	_	_	_	3412.2	\$3.37
1961	221,506	3.68	-	_	-	-	_	_	2824.2	3.68
1962	189,852	4.44	-	_	· <b>-</b>	_	_	-	2420.6	4.44
1963	179,800	4.19		_	-	-	-	-	2292.4	4.19
1964	166,620	5.30	190	\$4.41	2,080	\$4.58	103	\$4.10	2127.5	5.30
1965	193,732	4.06	-	-	1,389	1.40	50	3.25	2471.9	4.06

Royal apricots sold in Brentwood lugs averaged \$4.49 in 1965,  $50\phi$  below 1964, but  $42\phi$  per lug above 1963. Sales volume totaled 96,167 lugs, slightly above 1964 when 89,117 lugs were sold. Tiltons averaged \$2.70 per Brentwood lug in 1965, the lowest average recorded in the last 10 years. Auction trading in this variety totaled 65,380 lugs, more than 10,000 lugs greater than in 1964, and the heaviest volume sold since 1960. Prices received in 1965 for the Perfection variety averaged \$5.20 per Brentwood lug,  $69\phi$  below 1964, but generally above the season averages for the last 7 years.

ALL AUCTION APRICOT SALES AND AVERAGES BY PRINCIPAL VARIETIES AND CONTAINERS, 1956-1965

	Royal		Tilton		Perfection		Grand
	Royal		Tilton		Perfection	<b>.</b>	Total All <u>Varieties</u>
Year	Brentwood Lugs	Avg.	Brentwood Lugs	Avg.	Brentwood Lugs	Avg.	Packages
1956 1957 1958 1959 1960 1961 1962 1963 1964 1965	203,349 219,913 74,521 116,716 160,617 160,284 117,462 110,658 89,117 96,167	\$4.35 3.85 4.09 4.53 3.68 3.45 4.45 4.27 5.19 4.69	75,337 57,282 20,094 65,363 82,174 35,506 62,420 54,565 54,819 65,380	\$4.24 4.19 4.08 4.74 2.80 4.86 4.40 3.99 5.25 2.70	22,625 12,367 2,520 22,911 23,546 24,280 9,870 14,237 22,684 28,869	\$4.72 3.87 6.86 5.81 3.16 3.58 4.61 4.42 5.89 5.20	305,464 292,278 97,330 205,345 267,629 221,506 189,852 179,800 168,993 195,171 <u>b</u> /

a/ Revised from grand total appearing in 1964 Apricot Summary.
b/ Grand total includes the following other package information: Brentwood Ings (Approximately 25½ lb. net) Alves 3,199, Nugget 17, Pomo 100; Ings, Loose (Approximately 24 lb. net) Tilton 1,389; Flats, panta pak (Approximately 8-9 lb.) Orange Gold 50.

#### PRODUCTION AND RETURNS

According to preliminary estimates of the California Crop and Livestock Reporting Service, California apricot production for 1965 totaled approximately 220,000 tons, 5% above the revised estimate of 1964 and 12% above the 1959-63 average of 192,800 tons. Total United States production in 1965 was estimated at 220,910 tons,  $1\frac{1}{2}\%$  below the revised estimate of 1964, and 7% above the 1958-62 average.

Estimated total California apricot production, quantity wasted, and total production utilized, basis fresh tons, 1956-65.

	•	_	To <b>ta</b> l
Year	Total Production	Wasted	Production Utilized
1965	220,000	15,000	205,000
1964	208 <b>,00</b> 0	-	208,000
1963	190,000	_	190,000
1962	154,000		154,000
1961	180,000	17,000	163,000
1960	230,000	5,000	225,000
1959	210,000	-	210,000
1958	90,000	_	90,000
1957	167,000	-	167,000
1956	186,000	-	186,000

Estimated returns to California growers for naked fruit at first delivery point and at processing plant door (as shown in parenthesis), by utilization method, per ton basis, 1959-65 as follows:

<u>Year</u> <u>Int</u>	<u>Fresh Market</u> erstate <u>Intrastate</u> <u>Total</u>	<u>Canned</u>	Frozen		Season <u>Average Price</u> is) <u>Basis</u> <u>c</u> / <u>Basis</u> <u>d</u> /
<b>'</b> 65 <b>'</b> 65	<u>a</u> /	<u>a</u> /	<u>a</u> /	<u>a</u> /	\$ 83.30 <u>b</u> / (\$ 92.10) <u>b</u> /
•64 •64 •63 •62 •61 •60	\$187.00 \$148.00 \$163.00 (187.00)(148.00)(163.00 133.00 112.00 120.00 145.00 140.00 142.00 88.00 85.00 86.20	(114.00) 86.40 119.00 75.60	\$114.00 (117.00) 86.90 124.00 72.10	(138.00) 196.00 222.00 142.00	( 122.00) 116.00 140.00 89.60
•60 •59	130.00 140.00 136.00 112.00 126.00 121.00	• •	89.00 94.00	138.00 173.00	102.00 113.00

 $<sup>\</sup>underline{a}$ / Unavailable.

### UTILIZATION BY CANNERS

The Canners League of California reported as of November 1965 that the 1965 season California pack of canned apricots was the equivalent of 5,138,396 cases of 24 No.  $2\frac{1}{2}$  size cans, 1% larger than in 1964 and the largest pack since 1960.

Summary of California Canned Pack of Apricots 1958-65 is as follows:

# Cases of 24 No. $2\frac{1}{2}$ size cans

Year		Year	
1965	5 <b>,</b> 138 <b>,</b> 396	1961	4,743,945
1964	5,084,629	1960	5,954,128
1963	4,028,970	1959	4,885,473
1962	3,946,180	1958	1,718,159

 $<sup>\</sup>overline{b}$ / Preliminary.

c/ First delivery point basis.

 $<sup>\</sup>overline{d}$  Delivered processing plant door basis as shown in parenthesis ( ).

#### I. MOVEMENT AND DISTRIBUTION

TOTAL UNITED STATES RAIL AND REPORTED TRUCK AND AIR MOVEMENT OF APRICOTS, BY STATES AND CANADA, MAY THROUGH SEPTEMBER, 1962-65 a TABLE 1.

Origin	1962	1963	1964	1965
		Cars and ca	arlot equivalents	
Alifornia Rail	196	202	240	279
Truck Interstate Passings <u>b</u> / Intrastate Unloads	94 352	76 327	115 414	185 362
Air Shipments	-	-	1	-
FOTAL REPORTED CALIFORNIA APRICOT MOVEMENT	642	605	770	826
Colorado Rail Truck Total	1 10 11	3 9 12	9 22 31	3 23 26
Oregon Rail Truck Total	<u>-</u>	- 2 2	1 2 3	- 1 1
Utah Rail Truck Total	15 3 18	10 1 11	10 10	· •
Washington Rail Truck Total	80 134 214	87 100 187	85 101 186	2 18 20
TOTAL U.S. RAIL TOTAL REPORTED TRUCK TOTAL AIR SHIPMENTS	292 593	302 515 -	344 656 1	284 589 -
TOTAL REPORTED APRICOT MOVEMENT	885	817	997	873

- <u>a</u>/

Rail & Truck Unloads c/

Compiled from reports received from Fruit and Vegetable Division, C.&M.S., U.S.D.A., Washington, D.C., and records of the Federal-State Market News Service, Sacramento, California.

a/ All rail, truck, & air figures are final. Truck for states other than California represent unloads in 41 U.S. Cities unless otherwise stated.

b/ Outbound truck movement through California border stations. Direction of movement does not necessarily indicate point of origin. Passings may include volume redistributed from the Los Angeles and San Francisco-Oakland markets.

c/ Canada unloads in 41 U.S. Cities.

Revised from 1964 Apricot Summary to read none instead of 5.

TABLE 2. TOTAL UNITED STATES RAIL AND REPORTED TRUCK AND AIR MOVEMENT OF APRICOTS, BY STATES AND CANADA, BY MONTHS, 1965 WITH TOTAL 1964 COMPARISONS a

						tal
igin	May	June	July	August	1965	1964
		<u>C</u>	rs and carl	ot equival	ents	
LIFORNIA						
Rail						-
Northern	12	160	27	-	199	120
Central Total	12	52 212	28 55		80 279	120 240
		.3 = 10	30		~.•	~ 10
Pruck Interstate Passings <u>b</u> /						
Northern Stations	-	35	42	_	77	22
Central Stations	-	32	5	_	37	23
Southern Stations	2	53	16	-	71	70
Total	2	120	63	•	185	115
Intrastate Unloads						
Ios Angeles	3	170	57	4	234	273
San FranOakland Total	$\frac{1}{4}$	79 <b>249</b>	45 102	7	128 362	141 414
	-	N=0	100	ľ	مون	414
Air Shipments Central California	_	_	_	_	_	1
	-	-	_	-	-	1
TAL REPORTED CALIF.	40	F04	000	~	000	-
PRICOT MOVEMENT	18	581	220	7	826	770
lorado			_		_	_
Rail	-	-	3	- -	3	9
Pruck Total		<del></del>	18 21	<u>5</u> 5	23 26	22 31
	_	-	ŃΙ	3	ພັບ	31
egon Rail	_	_	_	_	_	1
ruck	-	-	<u>-</u>	-	1	2
Total	-	-	1	-	1	3
a.h						
Rail		-	-	-	-	10
Truck Total		<u> </u>				<u>-</u>
	-	-	-	-	-	10
hington			•		•	O.F.
Rail Truck	-	_ 1	2 16	_ 1	2 18	85 101
Total		<del></del>	18	<del></del>	20	186
		_		_		100
AL U.S. RAIL	12	212	60	_	284	3 <b>4</b> 5
AL REPORTED TRUCK	- <del>~</del> 6	370	200	13	589	65 <b>4</b>
AL AIR SHIPMENTS			_	-	-	1
AL REPORTED APRICOT	18	582	260	17	077	1000
MOVEMENT	10	202	260	13	<b>87</b> 3	1000
-1 (7						
ada (Imports) ail & Truck Unloads <u>c</u> /	_	_	_	_	_	- <u>d</u>
rmaa a ranne ontroens ci	_	_	_	_	-	<u>-u</u>

Compiled from reports received from Fruit and Vegetable Division, C.&M.S., U.S.D.A., Washington, D.C., and records of the Federal-State Market News Service, Sacramento, California.

a/ All rail, truck and air figures are final. Truck for states other than California represents unloads in 41 U.S. Cities unless otherwise stated.

b/ Outbound truck movement through California border stations. Direction of movement does not necessarily indicate point of origin. Passings may include volume redistributed from the Los Angeles and San Francisco-Oakland markets.

c/ Canada unloads in 41 U.S. Cities.

Canada unloads in 41 U.S. Cities.
Revised from 1964 Apricot Summary to read none instead of 14.

TABLE 3 RAIL AND REPORTED TRUCK MOVEMENT OF APRICOTS FROM CALIFORNIA AND COMPETING STATES, BY WEEKS, 1965 a/

•						•	•						
Origin	May 23-29	May30 Jun 5	June 6-12	June J 13-19 2	une 20-26	Jun27 Jul 3	July 4-10	July   1	July 6	July 25-31	Aug. 1-7	Aug. 8-14	Total 1965
				<u>C</u> a	ırs an	d carl	ot equi	ivalent	s				
CALIFORNIA													
Rail Northern Central	4	41	71 2	31 16	6 21	12 37	7 22	6 1	-	<u>-</u>	-	-	178 99
Total	4	41	73	47	27	49	29	7	-	-	-	-	277
Truck Interstate Passings I Northern Stations Central Stations Southern Stations Total	<u>b</u> / - - -	2 2 10 14	4 11 17 32	10 7 14 31	16 6 10 32	9 5 8 22	11 - 6 17	6 1 5 12	16 3 2 21	3 - - 3	- - -	<u>:</u>	77 35 72 184
Intrastate Unloads Los Angeles San FranOakland Total	5 1 6	25 7 32	49 20 69	53 26 79	27 16 43	24 20 44	16 13 29	17 12 29	11 9 20	6 5 11	3 <b>2</b> 5	- -	236 131 367
POTAL REPORTED CALIF. APRICOT MOVEMENT	10	87	174	157	102	115	75	48	41	14	5		828
Colorado Rail Truck Total	-	<u>-</u>	-		<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	- 3 3	2 6 8	1 6 7	- 7 7	- 3 3	- 3 3	3 28 31
regon Rail Truck	<u>.</u>	-	-	-	-	-	<u>.</u>	-	<u>-</u> 1	-	-	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u> 1
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	1
ashington Rail Truck Total		<u>-</u>	-	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	2 2 4	- 4 4	- 8 8	- 4 4	- 1 1	2 2	-	2 21 23
OTAL U.S. RAIL	4 6	<b>4</b> 1 <b>4</b> 6	73 101	47 110	27 75	51 68	29 53	9 55	1 52	22	10	- 3	282 601
OTAL REPORTED PRICOT MOVEMENT	10	87	174	157	102	119	82	64	53	22	10	3	883

Compiled from reports received from Fruit and Vegetable Division, C.&M.S., U.S.D.A., Washington, D.C.,

and records of the Federal-State Market News Service, Sacramento, California.

a Rail shipments and truck movement are preliminary. Truck for states other than California represents unloads in 41 U.S. Cities unless otherwise stated.

b Outbound truck movement through California border stations. Direction of movement does not necessarily indicate point of origin. Passings may include volume redistributed from the Los Angeles and San Francisco-Oakland markets.

TABLE 4.

RAIL SHIPMENTS OF CALIFORNIA APRICOTS, BY COUNTY AND BILLING STATION, BY MONTH 1965 AND TOTAL 1964

	T		T	TOTA	T.
County and Station	May	June	July	1965	1964
			Cars		
G 4 675 13 575 775 8			NORTHERN		
SACRAMENTO Sacramento	3	1	-	4	-
YOLO Winters	9	135	-	144	116
SUTTER Yuba City	-	3	-	3	-
SAN JOAQUIN Lodi Stockton	<u>-</u>	11	13 4	2 <b>4</b> 5	4
Tracy	_	9	10	19	-
Total Northern California	12	160	27	199	120
CONTENT COCKE			CENTRAL		
CONTRA COSTA Brentwood	-	52	28	80	95
Oakley	-	-	-	-	5
STANISLAUS Patterson	-	-	-	-	14
TULARE Exeter	-	-	-	-	1 2
Ivanhoe Total Central California		52	28	80	117
GRAND TOTAL	12	212	55	279 <u>b</u> /	237 <u>a</u> /

Compiled from reports received from the Fruit and Vegetable Division, C&MS, U.S.D.A., Market News Branch,

Washington, D. C.

Washington, D. C.

a/ In addition, includes 2 cars shipped from San Jose, Santa Clara County in July.

The same of the Includes rail-truck (Piggyback van) rail shipments by billing station for 1965: Stockton 4 (year ago none).

INTERSTATE RAIL PASSINGS OF CALIFORNIA APRICOTS FOR FRESH MARKET, BY COUNTY AND LOADING STATION, BY WEEKS - 1965  $\underline{\bf a}/$ 

County and Station	May 23 <b>-</b> 29	May 30- June 5	June 6 <b>-1</b> 2	June 13-19	June 20 <b>-</b> 26	June 27- July 3	July 4-10	July 11-17	<b>Total</b> 1965
					Cars				
YOLO Winters	3	33	76	28	4	-	-	-	144
CONTRA COSTA Brentwood	-	· -	-	13	17	29	17	3	<b>7</b> 9
SAN JOAQUIN Lodi East Stockton Tracy	-	1 -	- - -	2	- 3 6	4 4 12	<b>4</b> - 5	2 - 2	11 9 25
SUTTER Yuba	-	-	-	1	1	-	-	. <b>-</b>	2
PLACER Newcastle	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	1
<u>KERN</u> Delano	-	-	-	-		1	-	-	1
SANTA CLARA San Jose			-	-	-	_	2	1	3
GRAND TOTAL	3	34	76	44	32	50	28	8	2 <b>7</b> 5

Compiled from copies of train manifests and consists furnished by the Pacific Fruit Express Company, and

Santa Fe Refrigerator Department, and the REA Express.

NOTE: Final season total passings do not agree with final rail shipments by billing station shown in the preceding table. Differences are due to basis of determining a straight car of apricots.

Straight rail shipments by billing station are based on 90% or more by weight of apricots in the car. Whereas, straight rail passings include cars containing mixed fruits if apricots comprise more than 50% of the load.

Passings are final.

TABLE 6. OUTBOUND TRUCK PASSINGS OF CHERRIES THROUGH CALIFORNIA BORDER STATIONS, BY U.S. DESTINATION AREA & STATE, BY CANADA PROVINCE, BY MONTH-1965, WITH MONTHLY COMPARISONS, 1961-64 a/

						TOTAL	
estination	May	June	July	August	1965	1964	1963
			Car	lot equivale	ents b/		
ESTERN AREA							
Arizona	-	8	3	-	11	13	13
Colorado	-	6	ī	-	7	3	3
Montana	-		-	-	1	ī	<b>-</b> .
Nevada	_	2		٠ ـ	$ar{4}$	3	
New Mexico	_	1 2 3	2 2	_	5	5	2 4 5
Oregon	_	14	~ 5	_	19	<b>1</b> 5	Ē
Utah	_	1	-	_	1	-	1
Washington	1	5	1		7	5	3
Total	<del></del>	40	14		55	45	31
	I	40	14	<b>-</b>	55	45	31
IDWESTERN AREA Illinois		9			7	77	4
	-	2	1	-	3	7	1
Indiana	-	1	-	-	1	-	-
Iowa.	-	1	-	-	1	-	1
Kansas	-	3	1		4	4	3 1
Michigan	-	1	-	-	1	2	1
Minnesota	-	1 6	-		1	1 .	1 6
Missouri	<b>-</b>	6	1	-	7	9 2	6
Nebra <b>s</b> ka	-	2	2	-	4	2	1 2
Ohio	-	1	-	-	1	3	2
Wisconsin	-	1	1	-	2	2	1
Total	-	19	6	-	25	30	17
OUTHERN AREA							
		4			4		4
Arkansas	-	1 3	-	-	1 3	7	1 2
Florida	-		-	-	3	3	
Louisiana	-	1	-	-	1	1	1
North Carolina	-	=	<del>.</del> .	-	-	1	-
Oklahoma	-	3	1	-	4	4	1
Tennessee			-	-	-		1
Texas	1	17	5		23	22	<b>1</b> 8
Total	1	25	6	-	32	31	24
ASTERN AREA							
Connecticut		3	-	-	3	•	-
Massachusetts	• -	1	-	- •	1	2	-
Pennsylvania		1	-	-	1	1	1
Total	-	5	•	-	5	3	1
ANADA							
British Columbia	-	<b>1</b> 5	<b>36</b>	-	5 <b>1</b>		
Alberta	-	2	-	-	2		
Saskatchewan	-	13	1	-	14		
Manitoba		1	-		1		
Total	-	31	37	-	68	6 <u>c</u> /	3 <u>c</u>
TAL PASSINGS 1965	2	120	63	-	185	4.4-	
OTAL PASSINGS 1964	12	85	17	1		<b>11</b> 5	_,
OTAL PASSINGS 1963	<del>-</del>	58	17	1			76
OTAL PASSINGS 1962	1	73	19	1	94		
OTAL PASSINGS 1961	7	83	<b>1</b> 3	_	<b>1</b> 03		

Compiled from monthly outbound truck passings reports issued by Federal-State Market News Service,

Sacramento, California.

a/ State and Canadian Province of destination based upon original billings of shipments. Some truckloads and less than truckload quantities may be diverted before or at principal state or province of destination.

b/ Packages per carlot equivalent - 1,100 lugs. C/ Total Canada only, destination by Province unavailable.

TABLE 7. TOTAL RAIL AND TRUCK UNLOADS OF APRICOTS AT LOS ANGELES AND SAN FRANCISCO-OAKLAND, BY ORIGIN, BY MONTHS-1965, WITH COMPARATIVE TOTAL UNLOADS 1964 AND RECAP 3 CITIES 1961-65

Unload Area					Tot	al
and Origin	May	June	July	August	1965	1964
		<u>(</u>	ars and car	<u>lot equivalent</u>	<u>s</u>	
LOS ANCELES						
Rail	-	-	1	-	1	-
Truck						
California North Central Valley	3	150	18	_	171	197
South Central Valley	-	16	-	_	16	10
S. L. OSanta Barbara Co.	-	-	3	1 7	4	4
Monterey-Santa Cruz Co. San Francisco Bay	-	- 4	22 14	3 -	25 18	38 24
Total Truck	3	170	57	4	234	24 273
otal California	3	170	58	4	235	273
Washington						
Washington Truck	-			-	-	1
otal Unloads	3	170	58	4	<b>2</b> 35	274
AN FRANCISCO-OAKLAND						
Truck						
California	1	79	<b>4</b> 5	3	128	141
RECAP OF 3	CITIES TOTAL	UNLOADS FOR	1965 AND COM	PARATIVE YEAR	S 1961-196 <b>4</b>	
965	4	249	103	7	363	44 ==
964 963	17 2	270 198	108 125	20 7	332	<b>4</b> 15
962	2 7	2 <b>4</b> 6	114	5	372	
961	25	287	74	1	387	

Compiled from reports received from the Federal-State Market News Service offices at Los Angeles and San Francisco-Oakland, California.

TABLE 8. RAIL AND TRUCK UNLOADS OF APRICOTS IN 41 U.S. AND 5 CANADIAN CITIES, BY UNLOAD AREAS, BY SELECTED STATES OF ORIGIN, BY MONTHS - 1965

reas and	Califo	mia	Color	rado	MAN Washingto		her	-	lotal	-+	Calif	ornia	Colora	obe	Washin	UNE gton	Other		F	Total	
arkets	Rail	mick	Reill	Pruck	Rail Truc					otal					Rail T	mick	Rail Tr				TO.
1	10021				nd carlot			144211.	11 4011 1	0 0011	14411	11 ucm	Ca	ars an	d carl	ot ear	uivalent	S	14411.	LIGOR	10.
STERN			-										_					<del>-</del>			
llbany, N.Y.	_	_	_	_	_			_	_	_ 1	_	1	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	1	
Baltimore, Md.	_	_	_	_	_			_	_	- 1	_	ī		_	_	_	_	_	_	1	
Boston, Mass.	_	_	_	_	_			_	_	- 1	5	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	5	-	
Buffalo, N.Y.	_	_	_	_	_			_	_		2	_	_		_	_	_	_	2	_	
N.YNewark, N.J.	_	_	_	_	_			_	_	_'	7Õ	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	7Õ	_	
Philadelphia, Pa.	_	_	_	_ `	_	_	_	_	_		17	1	_	_	_	_	_	_	17	1	
Pittsburgh, Pa.	_	_	_	_	_		_	_	_		6	1	_	_		_		_	6	1	
Providence, R.I.	_	_	_	_				_	_		_	_	Ξ	_		_	_		_	_	
Washington, D.C	_	_	_		_			Ξ	_	_1	_		_	_	_	_	_	_	_		
Total			<u>-</u> -	<u>-</u>						-1	100	<del>- 4</del>	<del></del>		<del></del>	_ <del>-</del> -	<del></del>	<del></del> -	100	$\frac{-\frac{1}{4}}{4}$	]
DWESTERN		_	_	_	_		_	_	_		100		_	_		_	_	_	100	-	,
hicago, Ill.	_	_	_			_				•	23	. 1							23	1	
Cincinnati, Ohio		_		_			_	_	_	- 1	-	2	_	_		-	-	-	دم	2	
Cleveland, Ohio				_					_		8	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	8	1	
Detroit, Mich.	_	_	_	_	_	-	_	_	_	_,	6	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	6	1	
ndianapolis, Ind	-	-	-	-	-		_	-	-	-,	O	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	O	1	
Kansas City, Mo.	• -	-	-	-	-		-	-	-		-	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	7	
conionillo V	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-:	-	۵	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	
Louisville, Ky.	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	- 1	3	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
lilwaukee, Wis.	-	-	-	-	-			-	-	- 1			-	-	-	-	-	-	3	2	
Minn (pls-St.Paul	• -	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-,	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	1	
St. Louis, Mo.	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	٦,	2	4	-	-	-	-	_	-	2	4	
lichita, Kansas _												1								1	
Total	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-:	43	16	-	-		-	-	-	43	16	
UTHERN										•											
Itlanta, Ga.	-	-	· <del>-</del>	-	-		-	-	-	_'	-	-	-	-	-	· -	-	-	-	-	
Birmingham, Ala.	-	-	_	-	-		-	-	-	-1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	- '	-	-	
olumbia, S.C.	-	-	-	-	-			_	-	-1	-	-	-	-	-	-	_ '	_	-	-	
Dallas. Texas	-	-	-	-	-			_	-	-1	-	6	_	-	-	-	_	_	-	6	
Ft. Worth, Texas Houston, Texas	-	-	_	_	-		. <u>-</u>	_	-		_	1	-	_	_	_	-	_	_	1	
Houston, Texas	-	-	-	-	-		-	_	-	-:	-	1	_	-	-	-	_	-	-	1	
lemphis. Tenn.	-	-	-	-	-			_	-	-'	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	_	_	
liami, Florida	<del>-</del> '	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	_'	-	3	-	_	-	_	-	_	-	3	
Mashville, Tenn.	-	-	-	-	<u>-</u>			-	-	_'	_	_	_	_	_	_	-	_	_	_	
Wew Orleans. La.	-	-	_	-	-			_	-	-1	-	1	_	-	_	_	_	_	_	1	
San Antonio, Texa	s -	_	_	_	_			_	-	-1	_	3	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	3	
Total	-							_		-,		15	_	-	-	-				15	
STERN																					
enver. Colo.	_	_	_	_	_			_	_	_'	1	7	_	_	_	_	_	_	1	7	
os Angeles, Ca.	_	3	_	_	-			_	3	3	_	170	_	_	_	_		_	_	170	
ortland, Ore.	_	_	_	_	_				_	_1	_	5	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	5	
alt Lake City Ut		_	_	_	_			_	_	_1	_	3	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	3	
F-Oakland, Ca.		1	_	_	_			_	1	11	_	79	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	79	
eattle-Tacoma Wa		_	_	_	_			_	_	-1	_	6	_	_	_	1	_	_	_	7	
Total	<u> </u>	4							4	4,	1	270				1	<u>-</u>		<del>-</del>	271	
10 021	_	-	_	_		_	_	_	-		-	210	-	_		_	_			211	
TAL U.S.	_	4	_	_	_	_	_	_	4	4	144	305				1			144	306	
71AL 0.0.	_	-	_	_	_		_	_	-	-1	144	303	-	-	-	1	-	-	111	300	
HER Unloads in C																					
	anana										4										
ontreal, Que.	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-:	4	-		-	-	-	-	-	4	-	
ttawa, Ont. a/										•	_								_		
oronto, Ont.	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-1	5	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	5	1	
ancouver, B.C.	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	, <del>-</del> 1	1	12	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	12	
innipeg, Man							<u> </u>				5	1						_=_	55_	1_	
Total Canada	-	-	-	-	-		· -	-	-	-,	15	14	-	-	-	-	-	-	15	14	
ANTO MOMAT II C																					
AND TOTAL U.S.										:											
D CANADA		4							4		159	319							159	320	

1

					JU.											AUGU						_
eas and	Califo	ornia	Color	ado	Washin	gton	Other	:		[otal		Califo	rnia	Colorad	o Wa	ashing	ton	Other		<u> </u>	otal	_
rkets	Rail	Truck	Rail I	ruck	Rail T	ruck	Rail Tr	ruck	Rail !	ruck	l'otal	Rail T	ruck	Rail Tru	ck R	ail Tr	uck .	Railli	ruck	Rail   1	ruck	Τ'
TITTE ST			<u>C</u>	ars an	d carl	ot equ	ivalent	s						Car	s and	cario	t equ	ivalent	<u>, s</u>			
TERN										4	4											
bany, N.Y.	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	1		-	-	-	-	-	_	_	-	-	
altimore, Md.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	<u>-</u>	-	4		-	-	-	-	-	_	-	-	-	
oston, Mass.	4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-1	-	4	, -	-	-	_	-	-		_	_		
ffalo, N.Y.	47	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	<b>4</b> 3	-	<b>4</b> 3		-	-	-	-	-	-	_		_	
YNewark, N.J.	<b>4</b> 3	-	-	-	-	-		-	10	-	10		-	-	-	_	_		_			
iladelphia, Pa.	10	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	3	-	3	, -	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	_	
ttsburgh, Pa.	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	ی	-	3	, -	-	-	-	-	-	-	_	-	_	
ovidence, R.I.	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	: <del>-</del>	-	-	-	-	_	_	-	-	-	
shington, D.C		1			<del>-</del>				<del>-</del>	$\frac{1}{2}$	62	_ <del>'</del> _			<del>-</del>	<del></del>	_ <del>-</del> -	<del>-</del>	_ <del>_</del>			_
Total	60	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	60	2	02		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
WESTERN	_	•							0		8	1 ,								٦.		
icago, Ill.	8	-	-	-	-	- 1	-	-	8	- 1	1	1 I	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	_	
ncinnati, Ohio eveland, Ohio	-	- ,	-	-	-	Ţ	-	-	7	1	3	, -	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
eveland, Ohio	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	ې	-	ې		-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	
troit, Mich.	5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Э	-	5		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
dianapolis, Ind	• -	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	· -	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
nsas City, Mo.	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	1	. 1	' -	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
uisville, Ky.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
lwaukee, Wis .	1	-		-	-	-	-	-	1	-	1		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
ls.St.Paul,Minn		2	-	-	-	2		-	2	4	6	, -	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
. Louis, Mo.	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	1		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
chita, Kansas _				3						3_	3											_
Total	20	2	-	4	-	3	-	-	20	9	29	' 1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	
THERN												,										
lanta, Ga.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	۱ -	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
rmingham. Ala.	-	•	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1 -	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	- ,	
lumbia, Ś.C.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
lumbia, S.C. llas, Texas	-	2	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	2	, -	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
. Worth, Texas	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	· -	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
uston. Texas	_	_ '	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	· -	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
uston, Texas mphis, Tenn.	_	_	_	_	-	_	-	_	-	-	-	' -	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
ami, Florida	-	1	_	_	-	_	_	-	-	1	1	٠ _	_	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
shville, Tenn.	_	_	_	_	-	_	-	_	-	-	-	٠ -	-	_	-	-	-	-	<b>-</b> ,	-	-	
w Orleans, La.	_	_	_	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1 -	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
n Antonio, Texa	s -	1	_	_	_	_	_	_	-	1	1		-	-	-	_				<u> </u>		
Total	<del></del>	4							_	4	4		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
TERN												•										
nver Colo.	_	1	_	14	_	_	_	_	_	15 57	15 58	' -	_	_	5	-	_	-	_	_ '	5	
nver, Colo. s Angeles, Ca.	1	1 57	_		_	_	-	-	1	57	58	' -	4	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	4	
rtland, Ore.		1	_	_	_	6	_	1	_	8	8	١ _	_	_	_ '	-	1	-	-	-	1	
lt Lake City Ut	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	1	_	1	1	١ _	_	_	-	-	_	-	-	_	-	
-Oakland, Ca.	- -	45	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	45	45	1 -	3	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	3	
attle-Tacoma, W <u>a</u>	<u> </u>	-	_	_	-	7	_	_	_	7	7			_	_	-		-	_	-	-	
Total	<del></del>	104		14		13		2	1	133	134	<del></del>	7	_	5	_	1	-	-		13	
10 001	_	101	_	7.1	_	10	-	~	-	-50	-0-	•	•		-							
AL U.S.	81	112	-	18	-	16	-	2	81	148	229	1	7	-	5	-	1	-	-	1	13	
ER Unloads in C	anada											1										
ntreal, Que.	3	_	_	_	_	_	-	_	3	_	3	1 _	_	-	_	-	_	_	_	-	_	
tawa, Ont. a/	J	_	_						_		_	. 1										
valwa, Ont	1	1	_	_	_	_	_	_	1	1	2	, -	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	
ronto, Ont.	1	5	<u>-</u>	_	_	1	_	_	_	6	~		3	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	3	
ncouver, B.C.	2	3	_	<u>-</u>	_	_	_	_	2	-	2	-	_	-	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	
nnipeg, Man Total Canada	<del>~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~</del>	<del></del>		<del></del>	<del></del>	<del></del>		<del></del> -	<del>~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~</del>	7	$\frac{2}{13}$	<del></del> -	.7					-			.3	-
TOTAL Canada	O	O	-	-	-	1	_	_	J	r	10	ı =	U	-							ŭ	
ND TOTAL U.S.															_							
CANADA piled from repo	87	118_		18		17		2	87	155	242	1 1	10		5		1			1	<u> 16</u>	
			C - 1	1. TO.	• 1	77 1	7. 2		7001	un ting	134 34	1 17	D-	anah Maa	ningt	on 11.6	1.	_ / NT.	-		ported	2

California   Colorado   Washington   Other   Total     Areas and Markets   1965   1964   1963   1965   1964   1963   1962   1965   1964   1963   1965   1964   1963   1965   1964   1963   1965   1964   1963   1965   1964   1963   1965   1964   1963   1965   1964   1963   1965   1964   1963   1965   1964   1965   1964   1965   1965   1964   1965   19																				
reas and Markets	1965	1964	1963	1962	1965	1964	1963	1962	1965	wasnii 1964	1963	1962	1965			1962	1965	1964	1963	1962
								carlot												
ASTERN		_															_			
Albany, N. Y.	2	2	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	· -	-	2	2	1	-
Baltimore, Md.	1	1	3	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	. 1	3	1
Boston, Mass.	9	8	2	4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	9	8	2	4
Buffalo, N. Y.	2	. 2	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	2	1	-
N.Y. N.Y Newark, N.J.	113a/	115	102	99	-	-	-	-	-	24	5	17	-	-	. <b>-</b>	2	113	139	107	118
Philadelphia, Pa.	28	25	26	21	-	-	_	-	-	1	1	2	_	-	_	1	28	26	27	24
Pittsburgh, Pa.	10	8	10	8	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	1	10	8	10	9
Providence, R. I.	_	_		_	_	_	_		_	_	_	_	_	_	· _	_		_		
Washington, D. C.	1	_	1	1	_	_	_	_	_		_	_	_	_	_	_	1	_	1	1
Total	166	161	146	134						25	6	19				4	166	186	152	157
IDWESTERN	100	101	140	101	_	_	_	_	_	20	U	10	_	_	_	-	-100	100	100	101
Chicago III	770	70	77	36						10	10	18					77	<b>4</b> 9	43	54
Chicago, Ill.	33 <u>a</u> / 2	39 5	33 2	2	-	-	-	-	-	10	10	10	-	-	-	-	33 3	49 5	2	
Cincinnati, Ohio	48	45	~	. 9	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			Ž,	. 2
Cleveland, Ohio	12	15			-	-	-	-	-	1	-	2	-	-	-	-	12	16	6	11
Detroit, Mich.	12	12	10	11	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	4.	-	-	-	-	12	13	10	15
Indianapolis, Ind.	1	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	- 1	-	-	-	-	-	1	1	1	-
Kansas City, Mo.	2	5	5	4	1	-	-	-	-	2	1	1	-	-	-	-	3	7	6	5
Louisville, Ky.	-	_	-	-	-	-	-	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Milwaukee, Wis. MplsSt. Paul, Minn.	6	. 2	4	4	-	2	_		_	3	2	7	_	_	_	2	6	7	6	13
MolsSt. Paul. Minn.	6 <u>a</u> /	1	1	.3	_	_	_	_	2	23	10	38	_	_	_	2	8	24	11	43
St. Louis, Mo.	7	<u> </u>	5	4	_	_	_	_	~	4	3	6	_		_	ĩ	7	10	8	11
Wichita, Kansas	1	1	2	2	7	1	2	1	_	_	1	1	_	1	1	5	À	3	6	
Total	82	87	<u>69</u>	<u>75</u>	<del>- 4</del>	3	$\frac{\tilde{z}}{2}$	<del></del>	3	44	27	77		<del></del> +-	<del></del>	10	89	135	99	163
OUTHERN	۵۵	07	03	73		3	۵	1	3	44	21	11	-	1	1	10	03	133	99	103
ALI-L- C-				4																
Atlanta, Ga.	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1
Birmingham, Ala. Columbia, S. C.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Columbia, S. C.	-	-	-	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	=	_
Dallas, Texas	8	8 2	- 5	<u> 5</u>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	_	-	-	-	8	8	5	5
Ft. Worth, Texas	1	2	2	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	2	2	- 2
Houston, Texas	1	1	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	_	-	_	-	-	-	1	1	1	1
Memphis, Tenn.	_	1	1	-	_	-	-	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	1	1	-
Miami, Florida	4	4	2	3	_	_	_	_		1	_	_	_	_	_	1	4	5	2	4
Nashville, Tenn.	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_		_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
New Orleans, La.	1	1	1	1	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	1	1	1	1
San Antonio, Texas	4	5	4	5	_		_		_	_	_		_		_		4	5	4	É
Total	19	22	16	18						<del></del> -					<u>_</u> _	<del></del> -	10	23	16	19
	19	۵۵	10	10	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	1	19	دم	10	13
ESTERN	0	.,		40	40		_	_		_		_			-		-00	40	200	0.5
Denver, Colo.	9	16	4	12	19	21	9	9	-	3	4	2	-	-	3	4	28	40	20	27
Los Angeles, Calif.	235	273	208	233	-	-	-	-	-	_1	_1	15	-	-	-	-	235	274	209	248
Portland, Ore.	6	7	6	5	-	-	-	-	7	<b>34</b>	29	47	1	2	2	-	14	43	37	52
Salt Lake City, Utah	3	2	1	1		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	2	1	-	4	4	2	2
SF-Oakland, Calif.	128	141	119	119	_	-	_	-	_	-	4	4	-	-	_	_	128	141	123	123
Seattle-Tacoma. Wa.	6	6	3	4	_	_	_	_	8	48	51	44	_	_	_	_	14	54	54	48
Total	387	445	341	374	19	21	9	9	15	86	89	112	2	4	6	4	423	556	445	500
	55.			J. 1			-		10	50		~	~	•		-	-~0		0	230
OTAL U.S.	654	715	572	601	23	24	11	10	18	156	122	208	2	5	7	20	697	900	712	839
THER Unloads in Canada																				
Montreal, Que.	7	5	7		_	_	_		_	_	_		_	5	2		7	10	9	
Ottawa, Ont. c/	•	_	•											Ü	~		•	-0	•	
Toronto, Ont.	8	10	5		_	_	_		_	_	2			7	5		8	17	12	
Venezuren B C	21	2	1		-	_	_		-	-	ک		-	39	15		22	41	16	
Vancouver, B. C.	8	ک	1		-	-	-		1	-	-		-	22						
Winnipag, Man.		- <del>-</del>	47	1.7							<u></u>	<del>, , ,</del>			- 4		8	22	5	
Total Canada	44	17	13	Ъ/	-	-	-	<u>b</u> /	1	-	3	<u>ъ</u> /	-	73	26	<u>b</u> /	45	90	42	

Compiled from reports received from the Fruit and Vegetable Division, C&MS, USDA, Market News Branch, Washington, D. C. a/ Includes following Rail-Truck (Piggyback Van) unloads in June-July-August: New York 2-0-0, Chicago 0-0-1, Mpls-St.Paul 0-2-0 b/ Data not available.

c/ No unloads reported based on reports received from Canadian Dept., Agric.

#### II. AUCTION PRICES

TABLE 10. WEIGHTED AVERAGE PRICE AND VOLUME OF CALIFORNIA APRICOTS SOLD AT EASTERN AUCTIONS BY VARIETY, BY CONTAINER, 1961-1965

	In	${\tt Brentv}$	boov	Lugs	
1	Annack	imato]	77 25	±# N	( + ر

Variety	196	1	196	2	196	3	196	4	196	55
Al-	Lugs	Avg.	Lugs	Avg.	Lugs	Avg•	Lugs	Avg.	Lugs	Avg.
Alves	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	_	3,199	\$3.11
Nugget	•	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	17	2.50
Perfection	24,280	<b>\$</b> 3.58	9,870	\$4.61	14,237	\$4.42	22,684	<b>\$5.</b> 89	28,869	5.20
Pomo	· -	_	· -	- 1	_	_	· · · · ·	_	100	1.00
Royal	160,284	3.45	117,462	4.45	<b>110,6</b> 58	4.27	89,117	5.19	96,167	4.69
Ryland	600	2.50	100	4.04	3 <b>4</b> 0	3 <b>.1</b> 5	· -	_	· -	-
Seedling	836	2.50	_	_	-	_	_	-	_	-
Tilton	35,506	4.86	<b>62,4</b> 20	4.40	54 <b>,</b> 565	3.99	54,819	5.25	65,380	2.70
TOTAL	221,506	<b>\$3.6</b> 8	189,852	\$4.44	179,800	\$4.19	166,620	\$5.30	193,732	\$4.06

Lugs Cup Pack

				(10-2-	TT NEU/						
Variety	196	1.	1962	}	196	3	196	4		1969	5
	Lugs	Avg.	Lugs	Avg.	Lugs	Avg.	Lugs	Avg.	<u>L</u>	ugs	Avg.
Perfection	-	_	· _	-	-		190	\$4.41			-
TOTAL		-	-	-	-	-	190	\$4.41		-	-

Lugs, Loose (Approximately 24# Net)

Variety	1961	1961		}	1963	3	196	4	196	55
	Lugs	Avg.	Lugs	Avg.	Lugs	Avg.	Lugs	Avg.	Lugs	<u>Avg•</u>
Tilton	_	_			_	_	2,080	\$4.58	1,389	\$1.40
TOTAL	-	-	-	-	- -	-	2,080	<b>\$4.</b> 58	1,389	\$1.40

Flats
Ports Pok symmetrically 8-9 lbs )

	<del></del>		(Panta Pa	k, appro	ximately	8-9 lbs.	)	<del></del>		
Variety	1961	1961		1962		1963		64	1965	
	<u>Flats</u>	Avg.	Flats	Avg.	<u>Flats</u>	Avg.	<u>Flats</u>	Avg.	Flats	Avg.
Orange Gold Royal				<u>-</u>	- -		35 68	\$6.02 1.00	50 -	\$3 <b>.</b> 25
TOTAL		-	-	-	-	-	103	\$4.10	50	\$3,25

TABLE 11. WEIGHTED AVERAGE PRICE AND VOLUME OF CALIFORNIA APRICOTS SOLD AT EASTERN AUCTIONS BY MARKET, BY VARIETY, BY CONTAINER - 1965

In Brentwood Lugs

			qqA)	roximate	ely 25 <del>5</del> # N	let)				
Market	ALVES		NUGGET		PERFECTION		POMO		ROYAL	
	Lugs	Avg.	Lugs	Avg.	Lugs	Avg.	Lugs	Avg.	Lugs	Avg.
Boston Chicago Detroit New York Philadelphia Pittsburgh	379 - 1,775 1,045	\$2.88 - 3.82 1.98	- 17 -	\$2.50 -	1,780 1,119 19,955 3,855 2,160	\$3.31 4.51 5.52 5.21 4.08	100	\$1.00	1,573 20,577 6,642 47,270 15,060 5,045	\$3.82 4.24 4.60 5.07 4.25 4.57
TOTAL	3,199	\$3.11	17	\$2.50	28,869	\$5.20	100	\$1.00	96,167	\$4.69

(Continued)

TABLE 11. WEIGHTED AVERAGE PRICE AND VOLUME OF CALIFORNIA APRICOTS SOLD AT EASTERN AUCTIONS BY MARKET, BY VARIETY, BY CONTAINER - 1965 (Cont'd)

In Brentwood Lugs (Cont'd)
(Approximately 25 # Net)

	TOXIME GETA SOOM MED		·	
Market	TIL	ON	TOI	'AL
	Lugs	Avg.	Lugs	Avg.
Boston Chicago Detroit New York Philadelphia Pittsburgh	1,082 6,792 5,539 38,915 11,972 1,080	\$1.42 3.31 2.77 2.83 1.89 4.16	4,814 27,369 13,417 107,915 31,932 8,285	\$3.02 4.01 3.81 4.33 3.41 4.39
TOTAL	65,380	\$2.70	193,732	\$4.06

Lugs, Loose
(Approximately 24# Net)

(11ppt 0==1=23 002,) 02   110	<u> </u>	
Market	TILTON	TOTAL
	Lugs Avg.	Lugs Avg.
Chicago	1,389 \$1.40	1,389 \$1.40
TOTAL	1,389 \$1.40	1,389 \$1.40

Flats
(Panta Pak, approximately 8-9 lbs.)

	(Panta P	aĸ,	approximately	8-9	IDS.)				
Market						ORANGE	GOLD	TOT	AL
						Flats	Avg.	Flats	Avg.
New York						50	\$3.25	50	\$3.25
TOTAL						50	\$3.25	50	\$3.25

TABLE 12. WEIGHTED AVERAGE PRICE AND VOLUME OF CALIFORNIA APRICOTS SOLD AT EASTERN AUCTIONS BY VARIETY, BY WEEK, BY CONTAINER, 1964 AND 1965

			( A-	In Bren	twood Lugs tely 255# N	-+1				
Veek		1	(A	pproxima	Week	<u>eu)</u>	·····	T		
inding	1965		19	64	Ending	190	65	1964		
	Lugs	Avg.	Lugs	Avg.		Lugs	Avg.	Lugs	Avg.	
		ALV	ES				RO	YAL (Cont'd	)	
Tune 26	2,971	\$3.12	-	_	July 10	2,600	\$2.35		_	
July 3	228	2.92	-		17	725	2.29	-	-	
TOTAL	3,199	\$3.11	-	-	Aug. 7	1,346	•77	-	_	
		NUGG	יחים		TOTAL	96,167	\$4.69	89,117	\$5.19	
Tune 26	17	\$2.5 <del>0</del>	<u>-</u>	-			TIL	TON		
TOTAL	17	\$2.50	-	-	June 26	-	-	1,908	\$6.00	
					July 3	2,957	\$4.47	17,333	5.87	
		PERFEC!			10	29,355	3.33	28,164	4.95	
Tune 19	3,8 <b>1</b> 5	\$5.40	5 <b>,</b> 780	<b>\$5.86</b>	17	30,164	1.92	7,414	4.73	
26	9,280	5.11	11,009	6.02	24	2,904	2.72	.,	-	
uly 3	11,584	5 <b>.2</b> 5	5 <b>,</b> 8 <b>9</b> 5	5 <b>.67</b>	TOTAL	65,380	\$2.70	54,819	\$5.25	
<b>1</b> 0	4,190	5.05	-	-		00,000	φωμιο	01,010	<b>\$0\$</b> 20	
TOTAL	28,869	\$5.20	22,684	\$5.89			TO	TAL		
			_		June 5	4,790	\$8.46	<b>16,</b> 58 <b>9</b>	\$6.30	
		POM	2		12	22,530	6.61	<b>39,36</b> 8	4.55	
une 19	100	\$1.00			19	41,707	4.33	27,407	5.33	
TOTAL	100	\$1.00	-	-	26	29,661	4.13	23,768	5.89	
		·			July 3	23,760	4.26	23,910	5.83	
_		ROY			10	36,145	3.46	28,164	4.95	
une 5	4,790	\$8.46	16,589	\$6.30	17	30,889	1.92	7,414	4.73	
12	22,530	6.61	<b>39,36</b> 8	4.55	24	2,904	2.72	-,		
19	37,792	4.23	21,627	5 <b>.1</b> 8	Aug. 7	1,346	•77	_	_	
26	17,393	3.79	10,851	5•75	TOTAL	193,732	\$4.06	166,620	\$5.30	
uly 3	8,991	2.96	682	5 <b>.99</b>	TOTAL	100,100	ψ±•00	100,000	φυ•υ∪	
					ontinued)		<del></del>			

TABLE 12. WEIGHTED AVERAGE PRICE AND VOLUME OF CALIFORNIA APRICOTS SOLD AT EASTERN AUCTIONS BY VARIETY, BY WEEK, BY CONTAINER, 1964 AND 1965

Lugs, Loose (Approximately 24# Net) Week Week 1964 1965 1964 1965 Ending Ending Lugs Avg. Avg. Lugs Avg. Lugs Avg. Lugs TILTON TOTAL 1,040 1,040 July 3 10 \$4.37 1,040 \$4.37 July 3 1,040 10 4.78 4.78 1,389 1,389 17 \$1.40 \$1.40 17 \$1.40 \$1.40 2,080 TOTAL 1,389 \$4.58 TOTAL 2,080 \$4.58

					lats				
			(Panta Pa	ak, appro	ximately 8	-9 lbs.)			
Week Ending	196	65	196	64	Week Ending	196	65	196	54
	<u>Flats</u>	Avg.	$\underline{\mathtt{Flats}}$	Avg.		<u>Flats</u>	Avg.	<u>Flats</u>	Avg.
June 12 TOTAL	50 50	\$3.25 \$3.25	35 35	\$6.02 \$6.02	June 12 :20 T <b>O</b> TAL	50 50	\$3.25 \$3.25	TAL 35 68 103	\$6.02 \$1.00 \$4.10
June 20 TOTAL	<del></del>		<u>7AL</u> 68 68	\$1.00 \$1.00					

TABLE 13. WEIGHTED AVERAGE PRICE AND VOLUME OF CALIFORNIA APRICOTS SOLD AT EASTERN AUCTIONS BY MARKET, BY WEEK, BY PRINCIPAL CONTAINER - 1965

			,				wood Ly ely 25		)					
Week Ending	Bosto		Chic		Deti		New :		Philade				Tot	
	Lugs	Avg.	Lugs	AVg.	Lugs	Avg.	Lugs	Avg.	Lugs	Avg.	Lugs	Avg.	Lugs	Avg.
June 5	-	-	1,080	\$7.85	-	-	3,710	<b>\$8.64</b>	-	-	-	-	4,790	<b>\$8.4</b> 6
12	-	-	5,400	5.63	2,158	6.20	10,795	7.23	3,097	6.72	1,080	5.80	22,530	6.61
19	1,080 \$	4.11	6,480	3.94	3,3 <b>4</b> 0	4.03	<b>19,11</b> 5	4.64	9,532	4.04	2,160	4.59	41,707	4.33
26	956	3.37	3,240	4.60	1,080	3 <b>.4</b> 8	18,990	4.42	<b>3,23</b> 5	2.20	2,160	4.51	29,661	4.13
July 3	616	3.57	3 <b>,97</b> 3	2.77	1,080	4.51	<b>14,9</b> 05	4.48	2,106	5.79	1,080	3.98	23,760	4.26
10	1,080	2.88	3,290	3.52	3 <b>,6</b> 00	2.94	21,300	3.55	5,795	3.36	1,080	4.16	36,145	3.46
17	1,082	1.42	2,560	2.62	2,159	2.33	17,160	1.98	7.203	1.47	725	2.29	30,889	1.92
24	-	-	-		-	-	1,940	4.00	964	<b>.1</b> 3	-	-	2,904	2.72
Aug. 7			1,346	•77									1,346	•77
TOTAL	4,814 \$		27,369		13,417		.07,915	<b>\$4.</b> 33	31,932	\$3.41	8,285	\$4.39	193,732	\$4.06

TABLE 14. WEIGHTED AVERAGE PRICE AND VOLUME OF CALIFORNIA APRICOTS SOLD AT EASTERN AUCTIONS BY VARIETY, BY MARKET, BY WEEK, BY PRINCIPAL CONTAINER - 1965

In Brentwood Lugs (Approximately 25 # Net) Variety, Week Philadelphia Pittsburgh Total Chicago New York Boston Detroit & Ssn Total Lugs Lugs Lugs Avg. Lugs Avg. Lugs Avg. Lugs Avg. Avg. Avg. Lugs Avg. ALVES June 26 2,971 \$3.12 228 2.92 \$2.82 1,775 \$3.82 1,045 \$1.98 151 2.92 \$2.88 228 3 July 1,045 3,199 \$3.11 Total NUCCET 17 17 \$2.50 \$2.50 June 26 Total PERFECTION 3,815 3,180 635 \$5.40 \$5.70 \$3.94 June 19 1,080 39 \$4.62 7,815 5.30 34 1.80 \$4.17 9,280 5.11 26 312 \$3.96 1,080 2,106 3.98 11,584 5.25 1,080 4.51 6,930 5.47 5.79 388 3.96 July 3 2,030 6.27 1,080 4.94 4,190 5.05 1,080 2.88 10 3,855 2,160 1,780 1,119 \$4.51 19,955 \$5.52 \$5.21 \$4.08 28,869 \$5.20 \$3.31 Total **POMO** 100 100 June 19 100 Total ROYAL 1,808 \$8.64 4,790 \$8.46 \$7.85 3,710 June 5 1,080 22,530 2,158 10,795 3,097 \$6.72 \$5.80 6.61 \$6.20 7.23 5,400 5.63 12 37,792 1,080 6,480 8,897 2,160 4.59 4.23 3,240 4.12 15,935 4.43 4.04 19 3.94 \$4.11 1,080 1,024 4.85 17,393 3.79 9,400 3.79 2,156 2.32 3.45 26 493 3.17 3,240 4.60 8,991 2.96 3,031 5,960 3.32 2.25 July 220 1.25 1,470 910 2.43 2,600 2.35 2.46 10 2.29 2.29 725 725 17 <u>1,34</u>6 .77 7 Aug. 5,045 96.167 \$4.57 1,573 6,642 \$4.60 15,060 \$4.69 \$3.82 Total TILTON 2,957 29,355 \$4.47 2,015 942 \$4,45 \$4,47 July 1,080 3.33 3,290 3.52 3,380 \$3.05 17,800 3.33 3,805 \$3.14 \$4.16 10 30,164 1,082 7,203 1.47 1.92 2,159 2.33 17,160 1.98 2,560 2.62 17 \$1.42 1,940 2,904 2.72 964 4.00 •13 24 11,972 5,539 \$4.16 65,380 \$2.70 1,082 \$1.42 38,915 \$2.83 \$1.89 1,080 Total 13.417 \$3.81 107.915 \$4.33 31,932 \$3.41 8,285 \$4.39 193,732 \$4.06 27,369 \$4.01 GRAND TOTAL 4,814 \$3.02

WHOLESALE MARKET PRICES OF CALIFORNIA APRICOTS AT LOS ANGELES, BY SELECTED DISTRICTS AND VARIETIES, BY WEEKS 1965 TABLE 15.

		T	SEEDLINGS -	Large Size				ROYALS -	Large Size		
			Winters I	district			Winters 1	District		San Jose	District
		Dollars	Per Lug	Cents	Per Lb.	Dollars	Per Lug	Cents	Per Lb.	Cents	Per Lb.
Week Endin	g	Weekly Range	Simple Weekly Avg.	Weekly Range	Simple Weekly Avg.	Weekly Range	Simple Weekly Avg.	Weekly Range	Simple Weekly Avg.	Weekly Range	Simple Weekly Avg.
May	28	\$3.50-6.00	\$4.90	(14-24¢) <u>a</u> /	<u>b</u> /						
June	4	5.00	5.00	(20) <u>a</u> /	<u>b</u> /	\$3.75-6.00	\$4.66	(15 <b>-</b> 24¢) <u>a</u> /	<u>b</u> /		
	11					3.00-3.75	3.30	(12 <b>-1</b> 5) <u>a</u> /	<u>b</u> /		
	18					2.75-3.50	3.20	(11-14) <u>a</u> /	<u>b</u> /		
	25			,		2.00-3.25	2.60	(8 <b>-1</b> 9) <u>a</u> /	<u>b</u> /		
July	2									8 <b>-1</b> 0¢	<b>1</b> 0¢
	9									8 <b>-1</b> 5	11
	16									8 <b>-1</b> 5	13
	23									11-14	12
									Large Size		
				Hanford .	Large Size			San Jose	District Per Lb.		
				Weekly Range	Per Lb.   Simple   Weekly Avg.			Weekly Range	Simple Weekly Avg.		
June	25			9 <b>-1</b> 1¢	10¢			11-16¢	14¢		
July	2			8	8			9-16	12		
	9							9 <b>-1</b> 3	11		
	16							8-12	11		
									<u>Iarge Size</u> e District		
								Cents Weekly Range	Per Lb.   Simple   Weekly Avg.		
July	23						×	7-14¢	10¢		
	30							10-14	12		
Aug.	2							10-15	13		

Compiled from daily Wholesale Market Reports issued at Los Angeles Federal-State Market News Service.

a/ Derived by converting lug price to cents per pound. Weight basis: 25 pounds per lug.

b/ Not shown account actual sales reported on dollars per lug basis.

TABLE 16. WHOLESALE MARKET PRICES OF CALIFORNIA APRICOTS AT SAN FRANCISCO, BY SELECTED DISTRICTS AND VARIETIES, BY WEEKS 1965

	SEEDLINGS -	Large Size		ROYAL - La	TILTON - Large Size			
	Sacramen	to Valley	Sacramen	to Valley	Santa Cr	uz County	Contra Co	sta County
Week	Cents	Per Lb.   Simple	Dollars	Per Lug Simple	Dollars	Per Lug Simple	Dollars	Per Lug Simple
Ending	Weekly Range	Weekly Average	Weekly Range	Weekly Average	Weekly Range	Weekly Average	Weekly Range	Weekly Average
May 21	25¢	25¢						
28	18-30	25						
June 4	8-25	14			•			
11			\$3.25-4.75	<b>\$</b> 3.80				
18			3.00-3.75	3.35				
25			3.00-3.50	3.28			\$2.25-4.00	<b>\$3.</b> 25
July 2			2.50-3.50	2.95			2.00-3.50	2.75
9			2.50-3.50	3.00			2.50-2.75	2.81
16			2.75-3.00	2.83	\$3.00-3.50	<b>\$3.</b> 25	2.00-2.50	2.15
23					2.75-3.75	3.28	1.00-2.00	<b>1.</b> 58
30					2.25-3.00	2.58		
Aug. 6					2.75-3.25	2.92		
	BLENHEIM -	 Large Size	Size					
	Santa Cla	ra County Per Lug			Santa Cr	ruz County Per Lug		
	Weekly Range	Simple Weekly Average			Weekly Range	Simple		
June 25	\$1.25-2.50	\$1.88						
July 2	2.00-3.50	2.94						
9	3.25-3.50	3 <b>•44</b>						
16	2.00-3.00	2.56			\$2.50-2.75	\$2.63		
23	1.50-2.50	2.18			3.25-3.50	3.38		
30					<b>-</b>	-		
Aug. 6	* * *	•			2.75-3.50	3.13		

Compiled from daily Wholesale Market Reports issued at San Francisco Federal-State Market News Service.